

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.            | FILING DATE                     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.    | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| 10/808,614                 | 03/25/2004                      | Mineki Taoka         | 70594-029              | 5028             |
| 20277                      | 7590 07/18/2005                 |                      | EXAMINER               |                  |
| MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP |                                 |                      | SEVER, ANDREW T        |                  |
| 600 13TH ST<br>WASHINGTO   | REET, N.W.<br>DN. DC 20005-3096 |                      | ART UNIT               | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                            | ,                               |                      | 2851                   |                  |
|                            |                                 |                      | DATE MAILED: 07/18/200 | ٠                |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Application No.  Applicant(s)  10/808,614  TAOKA ET AL.  Examiner  Andrew T. Sever  2851  The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address  Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
|---|
| Office Action Summary  Examiner  Andrew T. Sever  2851  The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.   |
| Andrew T. Sever  The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.   |
| Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.   |
| <ul> <li>THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.</li> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> </ul>   |
| Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).   |
| Status  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on  |
| 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.   |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |
| Disposition of Claims   |
| <ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>  |
| Application Papers  |
| <ul> <li>9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 25 March 2004 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> </ul>   |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |
| <ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>  |
| Attachment(s)   |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)   |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/2004.  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/2004.  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/2004.  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/2004.  |

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Specification

- 1. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.
- 2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: on page 2 it was noted that in the first full paragraph the word "Blurring" has been misspelled as "Burring".

Appropriate correction is required.

Applicant should review the entire specification for other similar errors.

### Claim Objections

3. Claim 3 objected to because of the following informalities: The claim is not written clearly. Appropriate correction is required.

It is not clear what comprises the preamble and what comprises the rest of the claim rendering it difficult to determine what is being claimed and/or the relationship between different parts.

Application/Control Number: 10/808,614 Page 3

Art Unit: 2851

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

5. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Morgan et al. (US 2003/0227465.)

Morgan teaches in figures 1a, 1b, and 2, a projection type video display apparatus comprising light deflection means (112, and 114) for circularly scrolling and irradiating irradiated light in a direction perpendicular to the line direction on a hold-type display panel (122),

A projection type video display apparatus comprising means for varying overdrive (circuitry shown in figure 1b) in conformity with the timing of irradiation of the irradiated light (see paragraphs 13-15 and 101-108 which describe the modulator being driven with varying levels of overdrive to correspond to the timing of irradiation of the irradiated light.)

With regards to applicant's claim 2:

As shown in figure 4 the individual patterns are circular arcs having an inverted U shape. With regards to overdrive control see paragraphs 101- 108.

Art Unit: 2851

With regards to applicant's claim 3:

The functioning of the backlight (lamp110) is inherent (the light is either on or off at all times, based on an on/off command.) With regards to the overdrive function see above. With regards to the system being direct-view, although not recommend one could conceivable view the projected image by directly looking in through the projection lens and thus the system of Morgan can function as a direct-view type video display.

With regards to applicant's claim 4:

See above, and note the wheel of figure 2 has a spiral pattern.

#### Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

US 6,877,860 to Cho et al. teaches in figures 2-3b a projection device having a scrolling means that has a spiral pattern.

US 6,843,567 to Lee et al. teaches in figure 3 a projector utilizing a different scrolling means.

US 6,288,815 to Lambert teaches various scrolling means in figures 1, 3,5,7a-9.

Art Unit: 2851

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew T. Sever whose telephone number is 571-272-2128. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Judy Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-2258. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AS

William Perkey Primary Examiner

WB Perkey